

Entered on Docket October 25, 2007

Ti- Ri

Hon. Linda B. Riegle United States Bankruptcy Judge

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Facsimile (702) 949-8321 Telephone (702) 949-8320

Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199

Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593

Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com

John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024

Email: jhindera@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

12

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

14 In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,

USA SECURITIES, LLC,

Debtors.

22

23

24

25

Affects:

□All Debtors

☑ USA Commercial Mortgage Company

☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Securities, LLC

26

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

Date of Hearing: October 15, 2007

Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

ORDER SUSTAINING THE USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO(S). 10725-00609, 10725-00610 AND 10725-00611 FILED BY CELSO ACOSTA AS IMPROPERLY ASERTING SECURED STATUS

Celso Acosta filed Proof of Claim No(s). 10725-00609, 10725-00610 and 10725-00611, which asserted a secured claim(s) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM").

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") filed its Fifth Omnibus Objection [DE 3141] (the "Objection"), which challenged Celso Acosta's assertion of secured status and sought to have the Court reclassify Celso Acosta's claim(s) as a general unsecured claim(s). The Appropriate notice of the Objection was given.

Celso Acosta filed a Response to the Objection [DE 3415, 3416 and 3417].

The USACM Trust filed its Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding (1)
Responses to the USACM Trust's First Through Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to
Claims Asserting a Secured Status; (2) Responses to USACM Trust's First through Third
Omnibus Objections to Claims Asserting a Priority Status; and (3) Newly Alleged Secured
and Priority Claims (the "Motion") [DE 4291]. The Motion sought summary judgment on
the Objection and asked that the Court sustain the Objection. Appropriate notice of the
Motion was given to Celso Acosta.

Celso Acosta did not respond to the Motion.

The Court heard the Motion on October 15, 2007. Celso Acosta did not appear at the hearing to contest the Motion.

Based upon the foregoing and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Granting the Motion [DE 4291] as it relates to Proof of Claim No(s). 10725-00609, 10725-00610 and 10725-00611 filed by Celso Acosta;
- 2. Sustaining the Fifth Objection [DE 3141] as it relates to Proof of Claim No(s). 10725-00609, 10725-00610 and 10725-00611 filed by Celso Acosta; and

2 228474.1

3. Reclassifying Proof of Claim No(s). 10725-00609, 10725-00610 and 10725-00611 filed by Celso Acosta as a general unsecured claim(s) subject to further objection by the USACM Liquidating Trust.

###

PREPARED AND SUBMITTED:

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By: /s/ RC (#0006593)

Susan M. Freeman (pro hac vice)
Rob Charles
John Hinderaker (pro hac vice)

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

3 228474.1

1	CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9021	
2	In accordance with Local Rule 9021, the undersigned certifies:	
3		The Court waived the requirements of LR 9021.
4		I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the
5		hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in this case, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed
6		to respond, as indicated below:
7		approved the form of this order;
8		□ waived the right to review the order;
9		☐ failed to file and serve papers in accordance with LR 9021(c); and/or
10		failed to sign and return this proposed order within five (5) business days in accordance with LR 9021(b).
11		I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all attorneys and unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing regarding this matter and/or who filed a
12		written objection and all have either approved the form of this order, waived the right to review the order, failed to file and serve papers in accordance with LR
13		9021(c) and the following have disapproved the form of the order.
14	X	No opposition was filed and no other party or counsel appeared at the hearing.
15	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP	
16	By. /8/ ΚC (#0000393)	
17	Rob Charles	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

4 228474.1